## VarMiON: Variationally mimetic operator network
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- Consider a generic linear elliptic PDE:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(u(\boldsymbol{x}) ; \theta(\boldsymbol{x})) & =f(\boldsymbol{x}), & & \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega, \\
\mathcal{B}(u(\boldsymbol{x}) ; \theta(\boldsymbol{x})) & =\eta(\boldsymbol{x}), & & \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \Gamma_{\eta}, \\
u(\boldsymbol{x}) & =0, & & \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \Gamma_{g},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f \in \mathcal{F} \subset L^{2}(\Omega), \eta \in \mathcal{N} \subset L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{\eta}\right), \theta \in \mathcal{T} \subset L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

- The variational formulation: find $u \in \mathcal{V} \subset H_{g}^{1}$ such that $\forall w \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$
a(w, u ; \theta)=(w, f)+(w, \eta)_{\Gamma_{\eta}}
$$

- The solution operator is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}: \mathcal{X}=\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{N} & \longrightarrow \mathcal{V} \subset H_{g}^{1} \\
(f, \theta, \eta) & \mapsto u(. ; f, \theta, \eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

This mapping can be non-linear in $\theta$.

- Evaluate approximate solution in finite-dimensional space $\mathcal{V}^{h}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\phi_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}): 1 \leq i \leq q\right\}$.
- Discrete weak formulation: find $u^{h} \in \mathcal{V}^{h}$ such that $\forall w^{h} \in \mathcal{V}^{h}$,

$$
a\left(w^{h}, u^{h} ; \theta^{h}\right)=\left(w^{h}, f^{h}\right)+\left(w, \eta^{h}\right)_{\Gamma_{\eta}}
$$

- Any function $v^{h} \in \mathcal{V}^{h}$ can be written as

$$
v^{h}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{V}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{x}), \quad \boldsymbol{V}=\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{q}\right)^{\top}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left(\phi_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}), \cdots, \phi_{q}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)^{\top} .
$$

Plugging this into discrete weak form gives...

- Linear system of equations,

$$
\boldsymbol{K}\left(\theta^{h}\right) \boldsymbol{U}=\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{F}+\widetilde{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{N}
$$

where the matrices are given by

$$
K_{i j}\left(\theta^{h}\right)=a\left(\phi_{i}, \phi_{j} ; \theta^{h}\right), \quad M_{i j}=\left(\phi_{i}, \phi_{j}\right), \quad \widetilde{M}_{i j}=\left(\phi_{i}, \phi_{j}\right)_{\Gamma_{\eta}} \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq q
$$

- Discrete solution operator is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}^{h}: \mathcal{X}^{h}=\mathcal{F}^{h} \times \mathcal{T}^{h} \times \mathcal{N}^{h} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}^{h} \\
&\left(f^{h}, \theta^{h}, \eta^{h}\right) \mapsto u^{h}\left(. ; f^{h}, \theta^{h}, \eta^{h}\right)=\boldsymbol{B}\left(f^{h}, \eta^{h}, \theta^{h}\right)^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Phi}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{B}\left(f^{h}, \theta^{h}, \eta^{h}\right)=\boldsymbol{U}=\boldsymbol{K}^{-1}\left(\theta^{h}\right)(\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{F}+\widetilde{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{N})
$$

VarMiON will mimic this structure!

## VarMiON

Evaluate $(f, \theta, \eta)$ at some fixed sensor nodes to get the discrete sample vectors

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}=\left(f\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1}\right), \cdots, f\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k}\right)^{\top}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}=\left(\theta\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1}\right), \cdots, \theta\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k}\right)\right)^{\top}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}}=\left(\eta\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1}^{b}\right), \cdots, \eta\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k^{\prime}}^{b}\right)^{\top}\right.\right.
$$



Evaluate target solution (for training) at $\tilde{k}$ output nodes per $(f, \theta, \eta)$

$$
u^{h}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i} ; f^{h}, \theta^{h}, \eta^{h}\right) \quad 1 \leq i \leq \tilde{k}
$$

## VarMiON

The network is comprises several sub-networks with latent dimension $p$ :

- Non-linear branch net: $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}} \mapsto \boldsymbol{D}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$
- Two linear branches with learnable matrices $\boldsymbol{A}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}$
- Non-linear trunk (basis of VarMiON): $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\tau}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left(\tau_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}), \cdots \tau_{p}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)^{\top}$



## VarMiON

## VarMiON operator is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathcal{S}}: \mathbb{R}^{k} \times \mathbb{R}^{k} \times \mathbb{R}^{k^{\prime}} & \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\tau}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\tau_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}): 1 \leq i \leq p\right\} \\
(\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}}) & \mapsto \widehat{u}(. ; \widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}})=\boldsymbol{\beta}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}})^{\top} \boldsymbol{\tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{\beta}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{H}})=\boldsymbol{D}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}})(\boldsymbol{A} \widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}+\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}})
$$



## VarMiON

VarMiON operator is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathcal{S}}: \mathbb{R}^{k} \times \mathbb{R}^{k} \times \mathbb{R}^{k^{\prime}} & \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\tau}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\tau_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}): 1 \leq i \leq p\right\} \\
(\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}}) & \mapsto \widehat{u}(. ; \widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}})=\boldsymbol{\beta}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}})^{\top} \boldsymbol{\tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{\beta}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{H}})=\boldsymbol{D}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}})(\boldsymbol{A} \widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}+\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}})
$$

Compare this to the discrete solution operator:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}^{h}: \mathcal{F}^{h} & \times \mathcal{T}^{h} \times \mathcal{N}^{h} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}^{h} \\
& \left(f^{h}, \theta^{h}, \eta^{h}\right) \mapsto u^{h}\left(. ; f^{h}, \theta^{h}, \eta^{h}\right)=\boldsymbol{B}\left(f^{h}, \eta^{h}, \theta^{h}\right)^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Phi}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{B}\left(f^{h}, \theta^{h}, \eta^{h}\right)=\boldsymbol{K}^{-1}\left(\theta^{h}\right)(\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{F}+\widetilde{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{N})
$$

## VarMiON

In comparison with the variational formulation

- Can prove* $\boldsymbol{D}$ is the reduced order counterpart of $\boldsymbol{K}^{-1}(p \ll q)$
- While the basis $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ are fixed, $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ are learned from training data.

In comparison with a vanilla DeepONet

- DeepONet typically has a single nonlinear branch for inputs.
- VarMiON explicitly constructs matrix operators. DeepONet does not.
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## Error Estimates

Define the $\left(L^{2}\right)$ generalization error for any $(f, \theta, \eta) \in \mathcal{X}$

$$
\mathcal{E}(f, \theta, \eta):=\|\mathcal{S}(f, \theta, \eta)-\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}})\|_{L^{2}} .
$$

## Generalization error estimate (Patel et al., 2022)

If $\mathcal{X}:=\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{N}$ is compact, the non-linear branch is Lipschitz, i.e.,

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{D}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}})-\boldsymbol{D}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2} \leq L_{D}\left\|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}-\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} .
$$

Then, the generalization error can be bounded as

$$
\mathcal{E}(f, \theta, \eta) \leq \mathcal{C}\left(\epsilon_{h}+\epsilon_{s}+\sqrt{\epsilon_{t}}+\frac{1}{k^{\alpha / 2}}+\frac{1}{\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha^{\prime} / 2}}+\frac{1}{(\tilde{k})^{\tilde{\alpha} / 2}}\right)
$$

where
$\epsilon_{h} \rightarrow$ numerical error in training data
$\epsilon_{s} \rightarrow$ covering estimate
$\epsilon_{t} \rightarrow$ training error
$k, k^{\prime}, \tilde{k} \rightarrow$ interior, boundary and output nodes
$\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}, \tilde{\alpha} \rightarrow$ quadrature convergence rates

Steady-state heat conduction

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \cdot(\theta(\boldsymbol{x}) \nabla u(\boldsymbol{x})) & =f(\boldsymbol{x}), & & \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega, \\
\theta(\boldsymbol{x}) \nabla u(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) & =\eta(\boldsymbol{x}), & & \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \Gamma_{\eta}, \\
u(\boldsymbol{x}) & =0, & & \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \Gamma_{g} .
\end{aligned}
$$



- Input: thermal conductivity $\theta$, heat sources $f$, and heat flux $\eta$. Output: temperature $u$.
- Inputs: Gaussian Random Fields.
- Networks with two inputs $(\theta, f)$ and three inputs $(\theta, f, \eta)$.
- Compare VarMiON $(p=100)$ and vanilla DeepONet.
- Similar number of network parameters. Identical trunk architecture.
- Robustness: sampling (spatially uniform or random) and trunk functions (ReLU or RBF).


## Numerical Example: Two Inputs

- 10,000 samples generated using Fenics.
- 9,000 for training/validation and 1,000 for testing.
- Average value of relative $L_{2}$ error reported below.

| Case | Model | Number of parameters | Relative $L_{2}$ error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Randomly sampled input | DeepONet | 111,248 | $1.07 \pm 0.39 \%$ |
| with ReLU Trunk | VarMiON | 109,077 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 6} \pm \mathbf{0 . 2 5} \%$ |
| Uniformly sampled input | DeepONet | 49,928 | $1.98 \pm 0.79 \%$ |
| with ReLU Trunk | VarMiON | 46,345 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 1} \pm \mathbf{0 . 3 9} \%$ |
| Uniformly sampled input | DeepONet | 17,911 | $1.39 \pm 0.60 \%$ |
| with RBF Trunk | VarMiON | 17,409 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 4} \pm \mathbf{0 . 4 0} \%$ |

Density of scaled $L_{2}$ error (ReLU trunk and uniform spatial sampling).



Predictions by VarMiON and DeepONet.


- Input functions $f, \theta$ and $\eta$.
- 10,000 samples generated using Fenics.
- 9,000 for training/validation and 1,000 for testing $\rightarrow$ same as two input case!
- Average value of relative $L_{2}$ error reported below.

| Case | Model | Number of parameters | Relative $L_{2}$ error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uniformly sampled input | DeepONet | 31,143 | $6.29 \pm 3.43 \%$ |
| with RBF Trunk | VarMiON | 31,849 | $\mathbf{2 . 3 6} \pm \mathbf{1 . 1 3} \%$ |

## Numerical Example: Three inputs

Density of scaled $L_{2}$ error (RBF trunk and uniform spatial sampling).


Predictions by VarMiON and DeepONet.



Model Relative $L^{2}$ error Relative $H^{1}$ error
VarMiON
$3.21 \pm 0.76 \%$
$13.4 \pm 1.38 \%$
CVarMiON
$6.37 \pm 0.87 \%$
$6.85 \pm 0.98 \%$

- VarMiON: an operator network that mimics variational formulation.
- Takes the form of a reduced order model.
- Precise specification of the branch network - depends on weak form of PDE.
- Error analysis reveals important components - currently extending to $H^{1}$ training.
- Numerical results point to better and more robust performance.
- Several extensions: nonlinear operators, physics-informed residuals, time-dependent problems, hyperbolic systems, and specification of geometry.

1. For $1 \leq j \leq J$, consider distinct samples $\left(f_{j}, \theta_{j}, \eta_{j}\right) \in \mathcal{X}$.
2. Obtain the discrete approximations $\left(f_{j}^{h}, \theta_{j}^{h}, \eta_{j}^{h}\right) \in \mathcal{X}^{h}$.
3. Find the discrete numerical solution $u_{j}^{h}=\mathcal{S}^{h}\left(f_{j}^{h}, \theta_{j}^{h}, \eta_{j}^{h}\right)$.
4. Choose output nodes $\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{l}\right\}_{l=1}^{L}$ to sample the numerical solution $u_{j l}^{h}=u_{j}^{h}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{l}\right)$.
5. Generate the input vectors ( $\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{j}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}_{j}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}}_{j}$ ).
6. Collect input \& output to form training set with $J \times L$ samples

$$
\mathbb{S}=\left\{\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{j}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}_{j}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}}_{j}, \boldsymbol{x}_{l}, u_{j l}^{h}\right): 1 \leq j \leq J, 1 \leq l \leq L\right\}
$$

Find the network weights that minimize the loss function

$$
\Pi(\boldsymbol{\psi})=\frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \Pi_{j}(\boldsymbol{\psi}), \quad \Pi_{j}(\boldsymbol{\psi})=\sum_{l=1}^{L} w_{l}\left(u_{j l}^{h}-\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\psi}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{j}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}_{j}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}}_{j}\right)\left[\boldsymbol{x}_{l}\right]\right)^{2} .
$$

where $\psi$ are all the trainable parameters of the VarMiON.

## Error Estimates

The generalization error for any $(f, \theta, \eta) \in \mathcal{X}$

$$
\mathcal{E}(f, \theta, \eta):=\|\mathcal{S}(f, \theta, \eta)-\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}})\| .
$$

Split into four errors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}(f, \theta, \eta) \leq & \left\|\mathcal{S}(f, \theta, \eta)-\mathcal{S}\left(f_{j}, \theta_{j}, \eta_{j}\right)\right\| \longrightarrow \text { Stability of } \mathcal{S} \\
& +\left\|\mathcal{S}\left(f_{j}, \theta_{j}, \eta_{j}\right)-\mathcal{S}^{h}\left(f_{j}^{h}, \theta_{j}^{h}, \eta_{j}^{h}\right)\right\| \longrightarrow \text { Numerical error in generating data } \\
& \left.+\| \mathcal{S}^{h}\left(f_{j}^{h}, \theta_{j}^{h}, \eta_{j}^{h}\right)-\widehat{\mathcal{S}}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{j}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}_{j}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}}\right)_{j}\right) \| \longrightarrow \text { Training error of VarMiON } \\
& +\left\|\widehat{\mathcal{S}}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{j}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}_{j}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}_{j}}\right)-\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{N}})\right\| \longrightarrow \text { Stability of VarMiON } \widehat{\mathcal{S}}
\end{aligned}
$$


[^0]:    * Variationally Mimetic Operator Networks; Patel, R, Abdelmalik, Hughes, Oberai; 2022 (arXiv:2209.12871)

